

Sh Tejinder Singh, Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur, Distt Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Asst, Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5370 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant Dr.Varinder, ACS Jalandhar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 03.07.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of property returns filed by the Food Safety Officer as per sections 44(2) and 44(3) of the Lokpal Act 2013 from the year 2018 and if uploaded on the website of the department, to inform the website as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Asstt. Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 06.09.2021, which did not take a decision on the appeal.

As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

As per the respondent, the property returns that are filed by the concerned employees remain in the internal computer systems of the department and are not uploaded on any website; and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.07.2021.

Since the sought information has been replied to, no further interference is required from the commission.

The case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Manpreet Singh, # 463, Shivalik City, Kharar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Pb, Sector 39-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Secretary, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Pb. Sector 39-C, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1313 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Manpreet Singh as the Appellant Sh.Gurjeet Singh-Suptd./PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 14.10.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of order vide which recoveries of Rs.85 cores (which were ordered to Sh.R.K.Singla, Dy Director vide letter dated 15.03.2017, 11.08.2017, 01.08.2017 & 22.09.2017) was waived as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Secretary Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Pb. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.11.2021, which did not take the decision on the appeal.

As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 10.12.2021.

The appellant has not received the information.

The PIO is directed to provide a copy of the information to the appellant again with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Tejinder Singh, Advocate Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur, Distt Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o District Ayurvedic Officer, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Directorate of Ayurveda Deptt, Sector-11-D, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant Dr.Joginder Pal Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 12.05.2021 has sought information on 03 points regarding details of Ayurvedic dispensaries in District Jalandhar – the name of doctors and pharmacists posted in dispensaries alongwith details of medicines from 2018 to 2021 – number of units for manufacturing ayurvedic medicines as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Ayurvedic Officer, Jalandhar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 25.05.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.06.2021, which did not take a decision on the appeal.

As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

As per the appellant, the PIO has denied the information relating to point-3 vide letter dated 17.08.2021 stating that as per Punjab Govt letter dated 09.08.2021, the information being 3rd party information, it cannot be provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the plea of the PIO is rejected and the PIO is directed to provide information on point-3 to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Sohan Lal, Gali NO-11, H No-221, Manjit Nagar, Bhadson Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Mansa.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Civil Surgeon, Mansa.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5008 of 2021 PRESENT: Sh.Sohan Lal Jain as the Appellant Dr.Surinder Singh, ACS Mansa for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 20.09.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding a copy of the service book sent to AG Pb vide CS Mansa letter dated 14.08.2019 – a copy of service book-part-1 sent to SMO in charge SDH Budhlada vide CS Mansa letter dated 27.04.2018 – a copy of service book part-2 sent by Principal GOMCO Patiala on dated 09.05.2017 to Civil Surgeon, Mansa – PHC Mohali letter dated 12.10.2016/Pb Govt. letter dated 26.08.2016/CS Mansa letter dated 06.08.202a 0 – a copy of official notings put up by various dealing officials to CS Mansa on receipt of application dated 15.10.2020 as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Civil Surgeon, Mansa. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 23.10.2021, which did not take a decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information relating to point-4 has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 04.10.2021. Regarding information relating to point-1-3, the appellant was as to vide a letter dated 04.10.2021 to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.396/- which the appellant did not deposit. Regarding point-5, no noting is being prepared and the application received is marked to the concerned dealing person respectively.

The appellant claims that the PIO had not sent the details of the fee raised on the basis of actual pages as he has sought in the RTI application.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to raise the fee as per the actual pages asked by the appellant in the RTI application.

However, the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Sukhvinder Singh, Block-A, Flat NO-3003, City Apartments, Shohana, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Addl Registrar of Societies, SAS Nagar, Phase-6, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC-cum-Registrar of Societies, SAS Nagar, Phase-6, Mohali.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh.Sukhvinder Sngh as the Appellant Sh.Manmohinder Singh, GM-DIC for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.09.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of all supporting documents which were submitted by Sh.Gurbachan Singh Dogra of City Apartment, Sohana to demand a certificate of filing dated 22.09.2021 in favour of Gurbachan Singh Dogra as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Additional Registrar of Societies, Pb Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 01.12.2021, which did not take a decision on the appeal.

As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present informed that the appellant was asked to vide letter dated 14.10.2021 to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.720/- which the appellant did not deposit and the information was not provided.

Since the fee was raised by the PIO within time, the appellant is directed to deposit the requisite fee and get the relevant information. The PIO is directed to provide the information as per the RTI application once the fee is deposited by the appellant.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Jasbir Singh, # 112,Type 3, PGI Campur, Sector-12, Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SMO, PHC Boothgarh, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Health & Family Welfare, Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 389 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant Dr.Alakjot Kaur –SMO PHC Boothgarh and Dr.Amit from ESI Hospital Mohali for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 09.10.2021 has sought information regarding the present status of service book of Jasbir Singh sent from ESI Asron to PHC Boothgarh for completion – copy of action taken on service book as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO-PHC Boothgarh, Distt.Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 10.11.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 04.04.2022 through registered post and a copy sent to the Commission through email.

The appellant is absent nor is represented as well not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022



Sh Amarjit Singh, H No-7-A, Near Ravi Dass Mandir, Mahesh Nagar, Ambala City.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Fortis Hospital, Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Zonal Director, Fortis Hospital, Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 91 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 24.05.2021 has sought information regarding the status of the application sent for reimbursement of medical bills on 09.04.2021 and instruction for not allowing reimbursement as per Haryana Govt. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Fortis Hospital Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.06.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

Both the parties are absent.

The appellant vide letter received in the Commission has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case may be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022